



doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrar.2024.1210.008>

Biomechanical Insights in Swimming: Techniques for Performance Enhancement and Injury Risk Reduction

Swati Khatkar* and Kiran Singh

Department of Resource Management & Consumer Science, COCS, CCS Hararyana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Corresponding author*

Abstract

This paper examines the critical intersection of biomechanics and hydrodynamics in swimming, focusing on how biomechanical analysis enhances performance and minimizes injury risks. It traces the historical evolution of swimming techniques from utilitarian practices to scientifically informed methods, emphasizing recent technological advancements such as 3D motion capture and computational fluid dynamics. The analysis of stroke-specific biomechanics across various swimming styles—freestyle, breaststroke, backstroke, and butterfly—reveals the unique biomechanical considerations essential for optimizing efficiency. Additionally, the prevalence of shoulder injuries among swimmers is addressed, highlighting the importance of injury prevention strategies, including the correction of stroke flaws and the enhancement of shoulder stability through targeted rehabilitation exercises. The integration of real-time feedback and video analysis during training is proposed as a vital tool for improving technique and safeguarding swimmer health. Ultimately, this review underscores the essential role of biomechanics in enhancing athletic performance and ensuring the long-term wellbeing of swimmers across all levels of competition.

Article Info

Received: 15 August 2024

Accepted: 25 September 2024

Available Online: 20 October 2024

Keywords

Biomechanics, injury prevention, swimming, technique.

Introduction

Swimming is a complex sport that relies heavily on the interplay between biomechanics and hydrodynamics to achieve optimal performance. Biomechanics, the study of the mechanical principles of living organisms, plays a critical role in understanding how forces, motion, and body movements affect swimming efficiency and technique.

Swimming biomechanics, a specialized area within sports biomechanics, focuses on the detailed analysis of swimming movements to enhance athletic performance

and reduce the risk of injury in aquatic sports (Boas & Sanders, 2023). By analyzing aspects such as stroke mechanics, propulsion, drag reduction, and body positioning, biomechanics offers valuable insights into performance optimization, injury prevention, and technique improvement.

In recent years, technological advancements have enabled swimmers and coaches to better understand and refine swimming techniques. Tools like 3D motion capture, force measurement devices, and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations allow for detailed analysis of swimmers' movements. These tools provide

real-time feedback, helping athletes enhance propulsion and reduce energy expenditure, which are essential for competitive success.

Biomechanical studies reveal that optimizing stroke techniques can significantly influence swimming efficiency. For example, [Noronha et al., \(2023\)](#) emphasized the importance of intracycle velocity variations in freestyle and butterfly strokes to minimize energy loss and maximize propulsion efficiency. Similarly, [Gatta et al., \(2016\)](#) found that optimizing hand positioning during the pull phase in freestyle significantly enhances propulsion while reducing hydrodynamic drag, reinforcing the impact of efficient biomechanics on swimming performance.

The human body's interaction with water poses unique biomechanical challenges, primarily because water offers higher resistance compared to air. Factors such as buoyancy, drag, and propulsion play significant roles in influencing swimming speed and efficiency. Consequently, a swimmer's ability to reduce drag while maximizing propulsion determines their performance. For example, the efficiency of arm strokes, leg kicks, and overall body positioning is critical in minimizing energy wastage and optimizing forward movement.

Literature Review

Historical Development of Biomechanics in Swimming

The evolution of biomechanics in swimming spans from 1538, when Wynmann authored the first book on swimming, to the first International Symposium on Biomechanics and Medicine in Swimming in 1970.

Early techniques were shaped by experience and utilitarian needs, with swimming transitioning from military and gymnastic approaches to a more sports-centric focus by the 20th century. With the rise of competitive swimming, particularly in the early 1900s, swimmers experimented with strokes, ultimately favoring the front crawl for its efficiency.

Scientific research into stroke mechanics began in the early 20th century, revolutionizing training methods and stroke techniques. By 1970, biomechanics became crucial for optimizing performance, as research diversified into physiology, psychology, and technology. This scientific approach has continuously shaped competitive swimming, making biomechanics an

essential part of improving technique, efficiency, and performance ([Pelajo & Alberty, 2011](#)).

Recent Advances in Swimming Biomechanics

In recent years, significant technological advancements have transformed the study of swimming biomechanics, providing deeper insights into swimmer performance and movement efficiency. These innovations, such as 3D modeling, computational fluid dynamics (CFD), and sensor technology, have enabled more precise measurements and analyses of fluid interactions, body mechanics, and performance variables. The use of 3D modeling and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) has greatly advanced the understanding of how swimmers can minimize drag forces, optimizing their performance. A notable study by [Marinho et al., \(2009\)](#) showed that CFD modeling could precisely simulate fluid interactions, predicting how different body positions and movements affect swimming efficiency. Furthermore, advancements in inertial sensor technology, as applied by [Fulton et al., \(2009\)](#), allowed for the accurate assessment of kick count and rate in freestyle swimming, helping to detect subtle movements. This technology also revealed that breathing during the front crawl increases net force, which may impede performance. Additionally, asymmetries in shoulder movements were detected, revealing inconsistencies in Paralympic classification systems when compared to 3D motion and force measurements.

Drag and Resistance

Total drag in swimming is composed of frictional, form, and wave drag components. Frictional drag is influenced by water viscosity, creating shear stress within the boundary layer. The intensity of this drag depends primarily on the body's wetted surface area, surface characteristics, and flow conditions in the boundary layer. Form drag occurs due to a pressure difference between the front and back of the swimmer, which is influenced by velocity, water density, and the swimmer's cross-sectional area. Near the water's surface, the interaction between two fluids of differing densities generates surface waves, leading to wave drag ([Toussaint & Truijens, 2005](#)).

It is widely recognized that frictional drag is the smallest component of total drag, particularly at higher swimming speeds, though it should not be overlooked in elite swimmers. [Bixler et al., \(2007\)](#), through numerical simulations, determined that frictional drag accounted for

approximately 25% of the total drag when a swimmer is gliding underwater. Similarly, [Zaidi et al., \(2008\)](#) found that frictional drag played a significant role in overall drag during passive underwater gliding, representing around 20% of the total drag.

Form and wave drag account for the largest portion of total hydrodynamic drag, making it essential for swimmers to maintain hydrodynamic postures during swimming ([Toussaint, 2006](#); [Marinho et al., 2009](#)).

While wave drag constitutes a significant part of total drag during surface swimming ([Kjendlie & Stallman, 2008](#)), it decreases considerably when gliding underwater. Additionally, [Vennell et al., \(2006\)](#) determined that to avoid wave effects, a swimmer needs to be submerged at a depth greater than 1.8 to 2.8 chest depths for velocities of 0.9 m/s and 2.0 m/s, respectively.

Stroke-Specific Biomechanics

Understanding stroke-specific biomechanics is crucial for analyzing how different swimming strokes generate movement, reduce drag, and enhance performance. Each swimming style—freestyle, breaststroke, backstroke, and butterfly—requires unique biomechanical considerations to improve efficiency and prevent injuries.

The freestyle stroke, characterized by continuous alternating arm and leg movements, has been studied for its efficiency. [Alves et al., \(2022\)](#) found that the interaction between stroke frequency and length is vital, highlighting that proper hand positioning and body alignment during the pull phase are essential for minimizing drag. [Morais et al., \(2021\)](#) also examined propulsion, emphasizing the timing of leg kicks as a critical factor contributing to forward motion. This stroke requires synchronized muscle contractions across various phases, including arm entry, reach, traction, and recovery ([Pink et al., 2011](#)).

Breaststroke, which involves simultaneous arm and leg movements, relies heavily on coordination between the pull and kick for optimal propulsion. Research by [Barbosa et al., \(2011\)](#) indicated that timing, especially during the glide phase, significantly reduces resistance, thus enhancing efficiency.

In backstroke, where swimmers use alternating arm strokes and a flutter kick while lying on their backs, [Zamparo et al., \(2006\)](#) analyzed the importance of body roll and hand positioning. Their findings underscored

that proper rotation is essential for minimizing frontal drag, thereby improving speed.

The butterfly stroke is known for its high energy demands and complex coordination, requiring precise synchronization between arm pulls and dolphin kicks. [Quental et al., \(2023\)](#) demonstrated that correct timing between these movements is crucial for propulsion and fatigue management. The stroke also presents specific injury risks; swimmers with shoulder pain often have wider hand entries, which is a common issue in butterfly stroke mechanics ([Pink & Tibone, 2000](#)). In summary, analyzing stroke-specific biomechanics provides vital insights into enhancing swimming performance and minimizing injury risks, emphasizing the importance of technique and timing across different strokes.

Biomechanical Strategies for Injury Prevention

The prevalence of shoulder injuries in swimmers across all skill levels highlights the importance of injury prevention through biomechanical analysis. Advances in biomechanics have made it possible to identify and rectify stroke flaws that lead to shoulder stress, with physicians and coaches playing a key role in promoting proper pull patterns and body alignment ([Johnson et al., 2003](#)). By correcting techniques and educating athletes on optimal mechanics, they help prevent the onset of overuse injuries. Coaches are also instrumental in early detection, monitoring training loads, and guiding swimmers on proper in-water technique as well as dryland exercises such as weight training, which can reduce shoulder strain when managed appropriately ([Puckree & Thomas, 2006](#)).

In addition to stroke mechanics, biomechanical analysis emphasizes shoulder stability and muscle balance, which are crucial in preventing overexertion injuries. Targeted exercises for the rotator cuff and scapular positioning address common muscular imbalances, a significant risk factor in shoulder injuries. Cross-training on low-impact equipment like elliptical machines can further aid in rehabilitation without stressing the shoulder joints ([Aspenes & Karlsen, 2012](#); [Beggs et al., 2013](#)). With medical professionals and physical therapists knowledgeable in biomechanics, rehabilitation protocols can focus on re-establishing correct movement patterns and enhancing muscle coordination, ultimately lowering the risk of injury recurrence and ensuring swimmers can maintain long-term performance. Additionally, integrating real-time biomechanical feedback and video analysis tools during practice has become a valuable

resource for assessing and improving technique, further underscoring the critical role of biomechanics in injury prevention for swimmers.

Conclusion

The integration of biomechanics into swimming training and performance analysis has proven essential for enhancing efficiency and minimizing injury risks among swimmers of all skill levels. By focusing on stroke-specific mechanics and utilizing advanced technologies such as 3D motion capture and computational fluid dynamics, coaches and athletes can optimize their techniques to improve propulsion and reduce drag. The emphasis on injury prevention, particularly concerning shoulder injuries, highlights the importance of addressing muscle imbalances and implementing targeted rehabilitation exercises. Furthermore, the role of real-time feedback and video analysis during training facilitates continuous improvement in technique and performance. As the understanding of biomechanics continues to evolve, its application in swimming will remain crucial for advancing athletic performance while ensuring the health and longevity of swimmers in the sport.

References

- Alves, M., Carvalho, D. D., Fernandes, R. J. and Vilas-Boas, J. P. (2022). How Anthropometrics of Young and Adolescent Swimmers Influence Stroking Parameters and Performance? A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(5): 2543-2549. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19052543>.
- Aspenes, S. T. and Karlsen, T. (2012). Exercise-training intervention studies in competitive swimming. *Sports Medicine*, 42(6): 527-543. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11630760-000000000-00000>
- Barbosa, T. M., Marinho, D.A., Costa, M.J. and Silva, A.J. (2011). Biomechanics of Competitive Swimming Strokes. *Biomechanics in Applications*, <https://doi.org/10.5772/19553>.
- Beggs, S., Foong, Y. C., Le, H. C., Noor, D., Wood-Baker, R. and Walters, J.A. (2013). Swimming Training for Asthma in Children and Adolescents Aged 18 years and Under. *Evidence-Based Child Health: A Cochrane Review Journal*, 8(5): 1514-1581.
- <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009607.pub2>.
- Bixler, B., Pease, D. and Fairhurst, F. (2007). The Accuracy of Computational Fluid Dynamics Analysis of the Passive Drag of a Male Swimmer. *Sports Biomechanics*, 6: 81-98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14763140601058581>
- Boas, P. V. and Sanders, R. (2023). Swimming biomechanics: An Editorial. *Editorial*, pp: 1529-1531. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2022.2098640>
- Fulton, S. K., Pyne, D. B., and Burkett, B. (2009). Quantifying freestyle kick-count and kick-rate patterns in Paralympic swimming. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 27(13): 1455-1461. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410903062936>
- Gatta, G., Cortesi, M. and Di Michele, R. (2016). The Relationship Between Power Generated During the Push Phase and Swimming Speed in Front Crawl. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 30(4): 1122-1127.
- Johnson, J. N., Gauvin, J. and Fredericson, M. (2003). Swimming Biomechanics and injury prevention: new stroke techniques and medical considerations. *Physician and Sportsmedicine*, 31(1):41-46. <https://doi.org/10.3810/psm.2003.01.165>
- Kjendlie, P. L. and Stallman, R. (2008). Drag Characteristics of Competitive Swimming Children and Adults. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, PP: 35-42. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jab.24.1.35>
- Marinho, D. A., Rouboa, A. I., Alves, F. B., Vilas-Boas, J. P. and Silva, A. J. (2009). Hydrodynamic Drag During Gliding in Swimming. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, pp: 1118-1127.
- Marinho, D.A., Rouboa, A.I., Alves, F.B., Vilas-Boas, J. P., Machado, L., Reis, V. M. and Silva, A. J. (2009). Hydrodynamic Analysis of Different Thumb Positions in Swimming. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 8: 5866-5874.
- Morais, J., Barbosa, T. M., Lopes, V. P., Marques, M. C. and Marinho, D. A. (2021). Propulsive Force of Upper Limbs and its Relationship to Swim Velocity in the Butterfly Stroke. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 42(12): 1105-1112. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1386-4985>
- Noronha, F., Mezencio, B., and Fernandes, R.J. (2023). Intracycle Velocity Variation in Swimming: A Systematic Scoping Review. *Bioengineering*, 10(3), 308-315. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10030308>

- Pelayo, P. and Alberty, M. (2011). The History of Swimming Research. *Research Gate*, pp: 20-26.
- Pink, M. M. and Tibone, J. E. (2000). The Painful Shoulder in the Swimming Athlete. *Orthop Clin North Am*, 31 (2): 247 – 261. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0030-5898\(05\)70145-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0030-5898(05)70145-0)
- Pink, M. M., Edelman, G. T., Mark, R., and Rodeo, S. A. (2011). Applied biomechanics of swimming. *Athletic and Sport Issues in Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation*, pp: 331–349
- Puckree T and Thomas K J. Shoulder injuries in competitive swimmers in KwaZulu. *South African Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2006;18(1):10-2.
- Quntal, C., Simoes, F., Sequeira, M., Ambrosio, J. Vilas-Boas, J. P. and Nakashima, M. (2023). A Multibody Methodology Approach to the Biomechanics of Swimmers including Hydrodynamic Forces. *Multibody System Dynamics*, 57: 413-426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11044-022-09865-6>
- Toussaint, H. and Truijens, M. (2005). Biomechanical Aspects of Peak Performance in Human Swimming. *Animal Biology*, pp: 55(1):17-40. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1570756053276907>
- Toussaint, H., Carol, A., Kranenborg, H. and Truijens, M. (2006). Effect of fatigue on Stroking Characteristics in an Arms-only 100-m Front-Crawl Race. *Medicine Science Sports Exercise*, 38: 1635-1642. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000230209.53333.31>
- Vennell, R., Pease, D. L. and Wilson, B. D. (2006). Wave Drag on Human Swimmers. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 31: 664-671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2005.01.023>
- Zaidi, H., Taiar, R., Fohanno, S. and Polidori G. (2008). Analysis of the Effect of Swimmer’s Head Position on Swimming Performance Using Computational Fluid Dynamics. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 41: 1350-1358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.02.005>
- Zamparo, P. (2006). Effects of Age and Gender on the Propelling Efficiency of the Arm Stroke. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 97: 52-58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-006-0133-9>

How to cite this article:

Swati Khatkar and Kiran Singh. 2024. Biomechanical Insights in Swimming: Techniques for Performance Enhancement and Injury Risk Reduction. *Int.J.Curr.Res.Aca.Rev.* 12(10), 76-80. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrar.2024.1210.008>