



doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrar.2020.804.008>

Bacterial Profile, Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic of Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Yasin Awol Wabe^{1*}, Musa Mohammed Ali², Dawit Yihdego Reda², Estifanos Tsige³ and Degeuf Beyene Gobene³

¹Werabe comprehensive specialized hospital, Worabe, Ethiopia

²Hawassa University, College of Medicine and Health Science, School of Medical Laboratory Science, Hawassa, Ethiopia

³Ethiopian Public Health Institute Microbiology Laboratory, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Asymptomatic bacteriuria is a common condition among pregnant women and if untreated could lead to maternal and fetal complications. In addition to this, rapid emergence of antimicrobial resistance is became a major health problem and need continuous monitoring of the susceptibility patterns of pathogens. A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 290 asymptomatic pregnant women attending Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Data were collected using systematic random sampling technique with interviewer-administered pretested questionnaire. Clean-catch midstream urine specimens were collected and microbiological investigations were performed using standard procedures. Data were analyzed by SPSS version 23. Binary and multivariable logistic regressions were used to identify predictors of asymptomatic bacteriuria. Variables having p -value ≤ 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Out of the 290 study participants, 49(16.9%) were positive for asymptomatic bacteriuria. *Escherichia coli* 21(43%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* 10(20%) were common bacterial isolates. Most bacterial isolates were susceptible to nitrofurantoin. Most *E. coli* isolates were resistant to amoxicillin (86.4%). The prevalence of multi-drug resistance in all study isolates was 57.1%. Pregnant women with a history of urinary tract infection (AOR=3.11, $P=0.004$), history of catheterization (AOR=2.31, $P=0.040$), hemoglobin level less <11g/dl (AOR=10.49, $P<0.0001$) and natural abortion (AOR=2.36, $P=0.023$) were found to be statistically significant associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria. Asymptomatic bacteriuria was prevalent among pregnant women in our study area setting. Therefore, pregnant women should be screened by urine culture and treatment be guided by antimicrobial susceptibility data. Continuous local monitoring of resistance patterns is important for empirical therapy as well as for epidemiological reasons

Article Info

Accepted: 04 March 2020

Available Online: 20 April 2020

Keywords

Asymptomatic bacteriuria;
Antibiotic susceptibility; Pregnant woman; Addis Ababa; Ethiopia

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are one of the most common bacterial infections that affect both in the

community and hospital settings (1, 2). It is defined as microbial contamination of the urine as well as tissue invasion of any part of the urinary tract (3). UTI affects all age groups, but women particularly pregnant women

are more susceptible than men, due to their short and wide urethra, absence of prostatic secretion and easily contamination of urinary tract with fecal flora (4, 5). Such infections can be either symptomatic or asymptomatic in pregnant women (6).

Asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) is presence of a significant quantity of bacteria in a properly collected urine specimen from a person without signs and a symptom of UTI (7). ASB may precede to symptomatic, and pregnancy itself is one of factor, which increase the risk of UTIs partly due to combination of alternation of hormonal, anatomical, physiological and maternal immunity during the periods of pregnancy (8). In addition, other factors predisposing pregnant women to bacteriuria are increasing age, lower socioeconomic status, multiparty, past history of UTI, history of catheterization, sickle cell trait/anaemia and diabetes mellitus (9, 10). Glycosuria and aminoaciduria during pregnancy are additional risk factors for the development of ASB (11). *Escherichia coli* is the most common infecting organism, which is responsible for 75 to 90% of bacteriuria in pregnancy (12). Other Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Proteus* species and Gram-positive bacteria, such as *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, and *Enterococcus* species, are the most implicated bacterial species for ASB in pregnancy (13).

Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy if left untreated, about 30% of the affected mothers will develop acute pyelonephritis compared to 1.8% of non-bacteriuria controls (14). Pyelonephritis can leads to poor maternal and perinatal outcomes including prematurity, low birth weight, increased perinatal mortality, preeclampsia, anemia, hypertension, postpartum UTI, renal insufficiency, preterm labour and delivery (15, 16).

Routine screening and treatment bacteriuria in pregnancy has been associated with improved maternal and perinatal outcomes (17). A study estimated that screening and treatment of bacteriuria in pregnancy has the potential to reduce the incidence of prematurity and low birth weight by 20-55% and neonatal mortality due to prematurity by 15-40% (18). Current management of UTIs are usually empirical, without the use of a urine culture or susceptibility testing to guide therapy (19). However, antimicrobial resistance among pathogens that cause UTIs is increasing and is a major health problem in the treatment of UTI, especially in pregnant women who are restricted to only a few safe first line antibiotics drug like ampicillin, nitrofurantoin and cephalexin (20).

In Ethiopia concerning the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of ASB among pregnant women varies from area to area (5, 8). Even those studies that have been done in Addis Ababa among pregnant women with signs and symptoms of urinary tract infection, it lacks associated risk factors and too old. Hence, knowing current knowledge of the organism that causes UTIs and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern is essential for appropriate empirical therapy as well as for epidemiological reason. Therefore, this study was aimed to isolate and identify the bacterial profile of ASB, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of isolates and associated risk factors among pregnant women attending at antenatal clinic in Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College (SPHMMC), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Materials and Methods

Study design and period

A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted from July to September 2019.

Study area

The study was conducted at Saint Paul's the hospital Millennium Medical College (SPHMMC), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. The hospital is providing outpatient and inpatient services with 512 beds and gives the health service for more than 2 million patients per year. Every month approximately 830 pregnant women are visiting antenatal care unit.

Study population

Asymptomatic pregnant women in all gestational periods without any signs and symptoms of UTI and willing to participate in the study were included. Symptomatic pregnant women with suggestive of urinary tract infection such as dysuria, the urgency of urination, haematuria, fever, suprapubic pain, flank pain, cramping in the lower abdomen, and received antibiotics treatment within the last two weeks before the data collection and known congenital anomalies of the urinary tract were excluded from the study.

Sample size determination and sampling technique

The sample size was determined by using a single population proportion formula,

$$n = Z^2 P (1-P) / D^2$$

Where n= required sample size, Z is the reliability coefficient (confidence level) which is (95%) = 1.96, P is the anticipated population proportion of 21.2% was obtained from a previous study conducted in the Tigray region, Ethiopia (4), D= margin of error (5%). We have also considered risk factors during sample size calculation. The total sample size after considering risk factors and 10% contingency was equal to 290. A systematic random sampling technique was used to recruit 290 study participants. The first study participant was selected by lottery method.

Data collection and laboratory processing

Data related to socio-demographic characteristics, associated factors, and past delivery data were collected using a pretested and structured interviewer administered questionnaire.

Collection of urine specimens

After proper instruction, study participants were informed to clean their peri-urethral area with water and soap then cleanse with sterile gauze to collect 10-15ml of freshly voided midstream urine using sterile and wide-mouthed plastic bottles with screw cap top. Urine specimens were transported to Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI) Microbiology Laboratory with cold box and processed within 1-2 hours of collection and specimens that were not processed within 2 hours were kept refrigerated at 4°C until it was processed.

Culture and identification of isolates

Using calibrated wire loops, 0.001 ml of uncentrifuged, uniformly mixed, midstream urine samples were aseptically inoculated onto 5% sheep blood agar (Biomark, India laboratories) and MacConkey agar (Oxoid, England BD, USA). After overnight incubation at aerobically at 37°C for 24h colonies were counted to check significant growth. Colony counts yielding bacteria growth of 10^5 CFU/ml of urine considered as significant for bacteriuria (21). All positive urine culture with significant bacteriuria was further identified by their colony characteristics, Gram stain and different biochemical tests using standard procedures. *Enterobacteriaceae* were identified by H₂S production and carbohydrate utilization tests in TSI agar, Motility test, indole test, citrate test, oxidase test and urease tests. The Gram-positive bacteria were identified using catalase, coagulase, and bile esculin agar (22).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using disk diffusion on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) (Oxoid Ltd, Hampshire, UK) based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines, (23). The antibiotics discs used and their concentrations were: ampicillin (10µg), amoxicillin (20µg), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (20/10µg), ciprofloxacin (5µg), ceftriaxone (30µg), cefuroxime (30µg), nitrofurantoin (300µg), cotrimoxazole (25µg), tetracycline (30µg), clindamycin (2µg), erythromycin (15µg), gentamicin (10µg), penicillin (30µg), azithromycin (15µg) and meropenem (10µg). Isolates were classified as susceptible, intermediate and resistant according to standardized table supplied by CLSI 2019 (23).

Data management and quality control

Strict measures were taken from the pre-analytical to the post-analytical phase. Moreover, the questionnaire was pretested among 5% of sample size at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital. To assure data quality, three BSc nurses and one Gynaecologist were recruited as data collectors and supervisor, respectively. In addition, training regarding the objectives and data collection was given for data collectors and supervisor for two day. Furthermore, intensive supervision was done by supervisor and principal investigator throughout the data collection period. Laboratory analyses were carried out using standard operating procedures. Culture media were tested for sterility and performance by incubating 5% of the batch. Standard reference strains of *E. coli* (ATCC-25922), *S. aureus* (ATCC-25923) and *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC-27853) were used during culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

Operational definitions

Asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB)

Asymptomatic bacteriuria is defined as urine culture with significant growth of bacteria ($\geq 10^5$ cfu/ml) of urine collected from a freshly voided mid-stream (clean catch) urine specimen where the source of the urine specimen is a person without signs and symptoms of UTIs (24).

Multidrug-resistance (MDR)

Bacterial isolates resistant to two or more antimicrobial agents (25).

Data processing and analysis

All relevant data were cleaned, coded and entered using Epi Info Version 7.2 and analyzed by statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 23 software. Data were organized, summarized, and presented in descriptive statistical methods. The presence of association was assessed by bivariate analysis and variable with a p -value <0.25 were candidate for multivariable model. Finally, adjusted odd ratio with their 95% confidence intervals were estimated to assess the strength of the association, and variables having p -values ≤ 0.05 were considered as statistically significant factors.

Results and Discussions

Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants

A total of 290 study participants were included in the study, resulting in a response rate of 100%. The mean age of the participants was 27 ± 4.5 years within range of 18-43. Majority, two hundred and eighty (96.6%) were married and ten (3.4%) were single. With regards to education status, 58(20.0%) of the participants completed higher education and above. More than half of the study participants were urban residence and housewife (Table 1).

Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria

Out of the 290 pregnant women, 49 (16.9%) (95% CI=13.1-21.5) had significant bacteriuria. Among cases of significant bacteriuria, 47(95.9%) showed a single bacterial growth while two (4.1%) showed mixed growth. In this study, eight different bacterial were isolated. The majority of the isolates 29(59.2%) were Gram-negative bacteria. The most frequent isolated bacteria were *E. coli* 21(43%) and *S.aureus* 10(20.4%), followed by *S. saprophyticus* 7(14.3%), *K. pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Enterobacter aerogens* were 3(6.1%), 2(4.1%) 2(4.1%) 1(2.1%) and 1(2.1%) respectively. The mixed culture contained *E. coli* with *Candida albicans* and *S. saprophyticus* with *C. albicans*.

Factors associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria

The current study showed that, the higher prevalence of ASB was found in the age of 26-34 years, housewives, urban residence, married and completed primary school were 30(61.2%), 35(71.4%), and 44(90%), 48(98%) and 23(46.9%) respectively. However, there is no significant difference between prevalence of ASB and socio-demographic data (Table 2).

In multivariate analysis, the prevalence of ASB was significantly associated with those participants with the history of UTI (AOR=3.11, 95% CI: 1.42, 6.79), a history catheterization (AOR=2.31, 95% CI: 1.04, 5.73), hemoglobin level (AOR=10.49, 95% CI : 4.54, 24.04) and natural abortion (AOR=2.36, 95% CI: 1.13, 4.94) were found to be independent predictors of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy ($p<0.05$) (Table 3).

Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern bacterial uropathogens

Gram negative bacteria

The rate of susceptibility for gram-negative isolates ranged from 13.8%-93.1%. The majority of the gram-negative isolates were susceptible to nitrofurantoin (n=27; 93.1%), gentamycin (n=25; 85.2%), ceftriaxone (n=24; 82.2%), cefuroxime (n=23; 79.3%), and meropenem (n=22; 75.2%) the most effective antibiotics for the bacterial isolated. However, most Gram-negative isolates were resistant to amoxicillin (n=23; 79.3%), cotrimoxazole (n=19; 65.5%), and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (n=11; 37.9%). Among Gram-negative bacteria, the most frequent isolated was *E. coli*, and (n=19; 86.4%) of isolate were resistance to amoxicillin and while least resistance to gentamicin and meropenem (for each n=1; 4.5%) and nitrofurantoin (n=2; 9.0%). The antimicrobial testing of *K. pneumoniae*, *E. cloacae*, *K. oxytoca* and *E. aerogens* isolates were showed that all (100%) susceptible to nitrofurantoin. 66.7% of *K. pneumoniae* were resistant to cefuroxime and ampicillin. All isolates of *E. aerogenes* and *K. oxytoca* were susceptible to most of the tested antimicrobial agents whereas fully resistant to ampicillin and amoxicillin (Table 4).

Table.1 Socio-demographic characteristics of sampled pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Saint Paul’s the hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019, (N= 290)

| Variables | Category | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age in years | 18-25 | 101 | 34.8 |
| | 26-34 | 169 | 58.3 |
| | 35-43 | 20 | 6.9 |
| Occupation | Housewife | 193 | 66.6 |
| | Merchant | 55 | 19.0 |
| | Government employed | 38 | 13.0 |
| | Student | 4 | 1.4 |
| Marital status | Married | 280 | 96.6 |
| | Single | 10 | 3.4 |
| Education | No formal education | 26 | 3.4 |
| | Primary school | 125 | 43.1 |
| | Secondary school | 81 | 27.9 |
| | Higher education | 58 | 20.0 |
| Residence | Urban | 249 | 85.9 |
| | Rural | 41 | 14.1 |

Table.2 Socio-demographic characteristics and magnitude of ASB among sampled pregnant women attending antenatal clinic of Saint Paul’s the hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019, (N=290)

| Variables | Tested n(%) | ASB | | COR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | | Positive n(%) | Negative n(%) | | |
| Age (in years) | | | | | |
| 18-25 | 101(34.8) | 17(16.8) | 84(83.2) | 1 | |
| 26-34 | 169(58.3) | 30(17.8) | 139(82.2) | 1.06 (0.55, 2.05) | 0.847 |
| 35-43 | 20(6.9) | 2(10.0) | 18(90.0) | 0.54 (0.12, 2.58) | 0.449 |
| Occupation | | | | | |
| Housewife | 193(66.6) | 35(18.1) | 158(81.9) | 0.66 (0.07, 6.58) | 0.727 |
| Merchant | 55(19.0) | 8(14.5) | 47(85.5) | 0.51 (0.05, 5.54) | 0.581 |
| Government Employed | 38(13.0) | 5(13.2) | 33(86.8) | 0.45 (0.04, 5.27) | 0.528 |
| Student | 4(1.4) | 1(25.0) | 3(75.0) | 1 | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| Married | 280(96.6) | 48(17.1) | 232(82.9) | 1.86 (0.23, 15.04) | 0.560 |
| Single | 10(3.4) | 1(10.0) | 9(90.0) | 1 | |
| Educational status | | | | | |
| No formal education | 26(9.0) | 6(23.1) | 20(76.9) | 3.18(0.87, 11.59) | 0.08* |
| Primary school | 125(43.1) | 23(18.4) | 102(81.6) | 2.39(0.86, 6.64) | 0.95* |
| Secondary school | 81(27.9) | 15(18.5) | 66(81.5) | 2.41(0.82, 7.05) | 0.11* |
| Higher education | 37(12.8) | 4(10.8) | 33(89.2) | 1 | |
| Place of residence | | | | | |
| Urban | 249(85.9) | 44(17.7) | 205(82.4) | 1 | |
| Rural | 41(14.1) | 5(12.2) | 36(87.8) | 0.65(0.240-1.742) | 0.389 |

Key: *Candidate variable for multivariate analysis at P <0.25, COR: crude odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, n: number, 1: reference group

Table.3 Bivariate and multivariable analysis of factors associated with ASB among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Saint Paul's the hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019 (N=290)

| Variables | Tested n(%) | Asymptomatic bacteriuria Positive n(%) | Negative n(%) | Bivariate analysis COR (95% CI) | P-value | Multivariate analysis AOR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---|-------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| History of urinary tract infection | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 91(31.4) | 28(30.8) | 63(69.2) | 3.76(1.99, 7.12) | 0.001* | 3.11(1.42, 6.79) | 0.004** |
| No | 199(68.6) | 21(10.6) | 178(89.4) | 1 | | | |
| Hemoglobin level | | | | | | | |
| <11g/dl (Anemic) | 33(11.4) | 18(54.5) | 15(45.5) | 8.75(4.01,19.11) | 0.0001* | 10.49(4.58, 24.04) | 0.0001** |
| ≥11g/dl (Normal) | 257(88.6) | 31(12.0) | 226(88.0) | 1 | | | |
| History of catheterization | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 89(30.7) | 23(25.8) | 66(74.2) | 2.34(1.25, 4.39) | 0.008* | 2.31(1.04, 5.13) | 0.04** |
| No | 201(69.3) | 26(12.9) | 175(87.1) | 1 | | | |
| Educational status | | | | | | | |
| No formal education | 26(9) | 6(23.1) | 20(76.9) | 3.18(0.87, 11.59) | 0.08* | 3.26(0.72-14.83) | 0.126 |
| Primary school | 125(43.1) | 23(18.4) | 102(81.6) | 2.39(0.86, 6.64) | 0.95* | 2.04(0.63, 6.62) | 0.233 |
| Secondary school | 81(27.9) | 15(18.5) | 66(81.5) | 2.14(0.82, 7.05) | 0.11* | 2.45(0.72, 8.38) | 0.152 |
| Higher education | 58(20.0) | 5(8.6) | 53(91.4) | 1 | | | |
| Average monthly income level (in Ethiopian Birr) | | | | | | | |
| ≤500 | 20(6.9) | 3(15.0) | 17(85.0) | 0.98(0.26, 3.68) | 0.986 | 0.36(0.06, 1.92) | 0.232 |
| 501-1000 | 58(20.0) | 13(22.4) | 45(77.6) | 1.62(0.74, 3.52) | 0.226* | 1.17(0.46, 2.95) | 0.735 |
| 1001-1500 | 29(10.0) | 3(10.3) | 26(89.7) | 0.64(0.18, 2.34) | 0.506 | 0.54(0.13, 2.19) | 0.390 |
| 1501-2000 | 51(17.6) | 10(19.6) | 41(80.4) | 1.36(0.59, 3.16) | 0.466 | 0.75(0.26, 2.12) | 0.590 |
| >2000 | 132(45.5) | 20(15.2) | 112(84.8) | 1 | | | |
| Gestation period | | | | | | | |
| 1 st Trimester | 51(17.6) | 8(15.7) | 43(84.3%) | 1 | | | |
| 2 nd Trimester | 66(22.8) | 10(15.2) | 56(84.8) | 0.96(0.349, 2.638) | 0.937 | | |
| 3 rd Trimester | 173(59.6) | 31(17.9) | 142(82.1) | 1.173(0.502, 2.742) | 0.712 | | |
| Parity | | | | | | | |
| Nulliparaus | 86(29.7) | 17(19.8) | 69(80.2) | 1 | | | |
| Primiparaus | 109(37.6) | 16(14.7) | 93(85.3) | 0.69(0.33, 1.47) | 0.348 | | |
| Multiparaus | 95(32.8) | 16(16.8) | 79(83.2) | 0.82(0.38, 1.74) | 0.611 | | |
| History of natural abortion | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 91(31.4) | 24(26.4) | 67(73.6) | 2.49(1.33, 4.66) | 0.004 | 2.36(1.13, 4.96) | 0.023** |
| No | 199(68.6) | 25(12.6) | 174(87.4) | 1 | | | |
| History of still birth | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 14(4.5) | 3(21.4) | 11(78.6) | 1.36(0.17, 2.50) | 0.545 | | |
| No | 276(95.5) | 46(16.7) | 230(83.3) | 1 | | | |
| History of early neonatal death | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 14(4.8) | 1 (7.1) | 13(92.9) | 0.36(0.35, 21.42) | 0.338 | | |
| No | 276(95.2) | 48(17.4) | 228(82.6) | 1 | | | |
| History of Diabetes | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 21(7.2) | 5(23.8) | 16(76.2) | 1.59(0.22, 1.79) | 0.384 | | |
| No | 269(92.8) | 44(16.4) | 225(83.6) | 1 | | | |
| HIV sero-status | | | | | | | |
| Positive | 9(3.1) | 2(22.2) | 7(77.8) | 1.42(0.14 ,1.39) | 0.666 | | |
| Negative | 281(96.6) | 47(16.7) | 234(83.3) | 1 | | | |

Key: ** statistically significant at $P<0.05$; COR: crude odds ratio, AOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, 1: reference group, n: number

Table.4 Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Gram-negative bacteria isolated from pregnant women with ASB at Saint Paul’s Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019 (n=29)

| Bacterial isolates (n) | | Antibiotics | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | GEN | CIP | AMP | AMX | AMC | TET | NIT | CEF | CRX | MER | COT |
| <i>E. coli</i> (22) | S | 20(91.0) | 18(81.8) | 10(45.5) | 1(4.5) | 10(45.5) | 15(68.2) | 20(91.0) | 18(81.8) | 18(81.8) | 18(81.8) | 6(27.3) |
| | I | 1(4.5) | 1(4.5) | - | 2(9.1) | 2(9.0) | - | - | - | 1(4.5) | 3(4.5.7) | - |
| | R | 1(4.5) | 3(13.7) | 12(54.5) | 19(86.4) | 10(45.5) | 7(31.8) | 2(9.0) | 4(18.02) | 3(13.7) | 1(4.5) | 16(77.7) |
| <i>K.pneumoniae</i> (3) | S | 1(33.3) | 1(33.3) | 2(66.7) | 1(33.3) | 2(66.7) | 1(33.3) | 3(100) | 1(33.3) | 2(66.7) | 1(33.3) | 1(33.3) |
| | I | 1(33.3) | - | - | - | - | 1(33.3) | - | 1(33.3) | - | - | - |
| | R | 1(33.4) | 2(66.7) | 1(33.3) | 2(66.7) | 1(33.3) | 1(33.3) | - | 1(33.3) | 1(33.3) | 2(66.7) | 2(66.7) |
| <i>E. cloacae</i> (2) | S | 2(100) | - | 2(0.0) | 2(0.0) | 2(100) | 1(50.0) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | - |
| | I | - | - | - | - | - | 1(50.0) | - | - | - | - | 2(100) |
| | R | - | 2(100) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>K.oxytoca</i> (1) | S | 1(0.0) | 1(100) | - | - | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | - | - |
| | R | - | - | 1(100) | 1(100) | - | - | - | - | - | 1(100) | 1(100) |
| <i>E. aerogens</i> (1) | S | 1(100) | 1(100) | - | - | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) |
| | R | - | - | 1(100) | 1(100) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total (29) | | 25(86.2) | 21(72.4) | 19(65.5) | 4(13.8) | 16(55.2) | 19(65.5) | 27(93.1) | 23(79.3) | 24(82.8) | 22(75.9) | 8(27.6) |

Key: GEN: Gentamycin, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, AMP: ampicillin, AMX: Amoxicillin, AMC: Amoxicillin clavulanic acid, TET: Tetracycline, NIT: Nitrofurantoin, CEF: Ceftriaxone, CRX: Cefuroxime, MER: Meropenem, COT: Co-trimoxazole, S: Susceptible; I: Intermediate and R: Resistance

Table.5 Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Gram-positive bacteria isolated from pregnant women with ASB Saint Paul’s Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019 (n=20)

| Type Bacterial isolates (n) | | Antibiotics | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| | | GEN | ERY | CIP | PEN | COT | TET | NIT | CD | AZT | AMP |
| <i>S. aureus</i> (10) | S | 9(90.0) | 6(60.0) | 9(90.0) | 3(30.0) | 7(70.0) | 7(70.0) | 10(100) | 8(80.0) | 9(90.0) | NA |
| | I | 1(10.0) | 1(10.0) | 1(10.0) | - | - | - | - | 1(10.0) | - | NA |
| | R | - | 3(30.0) | - | 7(70.0) | 3(30.0) | 3(30.0) | - | 1(10.0) | 1(10.0) | NA |
| <i>S. saprophyticus</i> (8) | S | 6(75.0) | 4(50.0) | 6(75.0) | 2(25.0) | 4(50.0) | 4(50.0) | 8(100) | 6(75.0) | 7(87.5) | NA |
| | I | 1(25.0) | 2(25.0) | 1(12.5) | - | 1(12.5) | 2(25.0) | - | 1(12.5) | 1(12.5) | NA |
| | R | 1(25.0) | 2(25.0) | 1(12.5) | 6(75.0) | 3(37.5) | 2(25.0) | - | 1(12.5) | - | NA |
| <i>E. faecalis</i> (2) | S | 2(100) | NA | 2(100) | 1(50.0) | 1(50.0) | 1(50.0) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 1(50.0) |
| | I | - | NA | - | - | - | 1(50.0) | - | - | - | - |
| | R | - | NA | - | 1(50.0) | 1(50.0) | - | - | - | - | 1(50.0) |
| Total Total (29) | | 17(85.0) | 10(50.0) | 17(85.0) | 6(30.0) | 12(60.0) | 12(60.0) | 20(100) | 16(80.0) | 18(90.0) | 1(50.0) |

Key: GEN: Gentamycin, ERY: Erythromycin, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, PEN: Penicillin, COT: Co-trimoxazole, TET: Tetracycline, NIT: Nitrofurantoin, CD: Clindamycin, AZT: Azithromycin, AMP: Ampicillin, S: Susceptible, I: intermediate, R: Resistant, NA: Not applicable

Table.6 Multi-drug resistance patterns of bacterial isolates among pregnant women with ASB attending in Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019 (n=28)

| Bacterial isolates | Combination of antibiotics | N (%) |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| <i>E. coli</i> (n=12) | AMX,COT | 1 (8.3) |
| | AMX MER | 1 (8.3) |
| | AMX,AMP,AMC,COT | 3 (25.0) |
| | AMX,AMP,AMC,TET,COT | 3 (25.0) |
| | AMX,AMP,AMC,CRT,CRX,COT | 1 (8.3) |
| | AMX,AMP,AMC,NIT,TET,COT | 1 (8.3) |
| | AMX,AMP,AMC, CTR,CRX,CRP,TET,COT | 2 (16.7) |
| | | |
| <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n=2) | AMX, CRP,MER,COT | 1 (50.0) |
| | AMX,AMP,AMC,CTR,CRX,GEN,CRP,TET,MER,COT | 1 (50.0) |
| <i>K. oxytoca</i> (n=1) | AMX,AMP,COT | 1 (100) |
| <i>S. aureus</i> (n=7) | ERY,PEN | 3(42.9) |
| | TET,PEN | 1(14.2) |
| | COT ,PEN | 1(14.2) |
| | PEN,TET,CD,COT | 1(14.2) |
| | PEN,TET,AZT,COT | 1(14.2) |
| | | |
| <i>S. saprophyticus</i> (n=5) | PEN,CD | 1(20.0) |
| | ERY,PEN | 1(20.0) |
| | COT,ERY | 1(20.0) |
| | GEN,PEN,TET,COT | 2(40.0) |
| <i>E. faecalis</i> (n=1) | PEN, COT | 1(100) |

Key: AMX: Amoxicillin, COT: Co-trimoxazole, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, AMP: Ampicillin, AMC: Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, TET: Tetracycline, GEN: Gentamycin, NIT: Nitrofurantoin, CTR: Ceftriaxone, CRX: Cefuroxime, MER: Meropenem ERY: Erythromycin, PEN: Penicillin, CD Clindamycin, AZT: Azithromycin

Asymptomatic bacteriuria needs special consideration during pregnancy due to the absence of symptoms and associated adverse effects on maternal and fetal outcomes (5). The prevalence of ASB among pregnant women in the current study was 16.9%, which is in agreement with prior studies done in Bangladesh (16.5%) (26), Adama, Ethiopia (16.1%) (27) and Ambo, Ethiopia (17.8%) (16). However, it is low compared to prevalence rate reported from Iraq (42.9%) (28), Nigeria (37.1%) (29), Saudi Arabia (32.1%) (30) and Adigrat, Ethiopia (21.2%) (4). In addition, the finding of the current study is higher than the prevalence reported from Nigeria (11%) (31) and Bahir dar, Ethiopia (11.5%) (32). The wide-ranging reported prevalence of ASB across different studies from one country to other and among region of the same countries might be due attributed difference in associated factors, geographical variation, sample size, social habits of the community, health education practices and education levels of the patients being studied.

In the present study, Gram-negative bacterial isolates (59.2%) were more prevalent than Gram-positive bacteria isolates (40.8%). This finding was similar to study done in Gondar, Ethiopia (33), Tanzania (34), and Nigeria (35). This could be due to the presence of unique structure in Gram-negative bacteria that help with the attachment to the uroepithelial cells and to prevent bacteria from urinary lavage, allowing for multiplication and tissue invasion resulting in invasive infection and pyelonephritis during pregnancy (36).

E. coli 21(43%) was found to be the most frequent bacterial isolate in this study. Similar finding reported from in Ambo, Ethiopia (46.4%) (16), Adama, Ethiopia (37.3%) (24), Kenya (38.8%) (37) and Tanzania (64.2%) (38) have also showed that *E.coli* was common bacteria isolated. This could be due to a number of virulent factors specific for colonization and invasion of the urinary epithelium and difficulty of maintaining

personal hygienic during pregnancy might increase the risk acquiring UTI by *E. coli* (15).

The second predominant isolate was *S. aureus* 10(20.4%) followed by *S. saprophyticus*, 7(14.3%). This finding is comparable with the study conducted in Iraq *S. aureus* (25.0%) (28), Kenya, *S. aureus* (29.7%) (37). The prevalence of *S. saprophyticus* in the current study is comparable with study done in Adigrat, Ethiopia (12.7%) (4). In contrast, high prevalence of *S. saprophyticus* was reported in Bahir dar, Ethiopia (48.2%) (32) and Nigeria (44.5%) (3). This might be due contamination as normal flora of the urogenital area, sexual behavior of patient studied and also unsafe insertion and long-term utilization of catheters.

The present study showed participants with a history of UTI had significantly higher prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria compared to those with no previous history of UTI ($p=0.004$). The pregnant women with a history of UTI is considered as strongest predictor of ASB, as study conducted in Gondar, Ethiopia (29) and Egypt (39). The possible explanation for this association could be due to existence of resistance strains from earlier uropathogens. The history of catheterization was also found significantly associated with the occurrence of ASB ($p=0.040$). This was similar to a study done in Dessie, Ethiopia (5). This could be due to contamination while catheter insertion, frequent and long-term catheterization which supports adherence of pathogens to the urinary tract.

Similarly, pregnant women with hemoglobin level less than 11g/dL were found more than ten times (AOR=10.49, 95%CI (4.54, 24.04), likely to positive for ASB ($p<0.0001$). This finding is in line with the previous reported from Bahir dar, Ethiopia (40). Finally, in this study we reported pregnant women with a history natural abortion were 2.36 times more at risk to develop ASB ($p=0.023$). The results of this study disagree with a study conducted in Cameroon (41).

Concerning with antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of isolates, the majority of Gram-negative isolates were susceptible to nitrofurantoin (93.1%), gentamicin (85.2%), ceftriaxone (82.2%), cefuroxime (79.3%), meropenem (75.2%), and ciprofloxacin (75.2%). These findings are comparable with a study conducted in Dessie, Ethiopia (5), and Bale, Ethiopia (42). However, most Gram-negative bacteria were resistant to amoxicillin (79.3%), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (37.9%). The easy accessibility, indiscriminately and

frequently used drugs such as amoxicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid may result in an increase in resistance.

Most *E. coli* isolated in this study were susceptible to gentamicin (91%), nitrofurantoin (91%), ceftriaxone (81.8%), ciprofloxacin (81.8%) and cefuroxime (81.8%). However, *E. coli* showed the highest resistance to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. It is higher than a study conducted in North West Ethiopia (30) but it is low compared to a report from Kenya (34). The finding of this study is not in line with a study conducted in India, where 61% and 70% *E. coli* were susceptible to ampicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid respectively (43). These differences could be due to variations in antibiotics prescribing habits between the countries.

This study also showed all *K. pneumoniae* isolates were 100% susceptible to nitrofurantoin and most of them were susceptible to ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and cefuroxime. This finding is not comparable with study reported from Tanzania (32). *K. oxytoca* and *E. aerogens* were 100% resistant to ampicillin, and amoxicillin. The high resistance to ampicillin and amoxicillin observed in this study may be due to the easily availability of antibiotics in local pharmacies, being commonly prescribed antibiotics by health care workers without susceptibility testing in hospitals.

Our study revealed that, Gram-positive isolates were 100% susceptible to nitrofurantoin. This could be due to drug less commonly used the study area and it is relatively safe in pregnancy but may cause haemolysis with anaemia in the fetus of patients with glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency if used close to term (18). In the current study, most of *S. aureus* was resistant to penicillin, erythromycin, and tetracycline. This finding is lower than a study conducted in Togo (44). The resistance profiles of *S. saprophyticus* isolated in the current to penicillin, cotrimoxazole, and ciprofloxacin are comparable to study done in Iran (45). In addition, all *E. faecalis* were susceptible to gentamicin and ciprofloxacin; however, 50% of them were resistant to ampicillin and penicillin. In contrast, study conducted in Togo, all *E. faecalis* were resistant to ciprofloxacin (44).

Based on our finding 57.1% of the bacterial isolate showed multi-drug resistance (MDR) to those commonly used antimicrobial agents. This is relatively lower than MDR reported from Jimma, Ethiopia (90%) (46), Ambo,

Ethiopia (100%) (16), Dire Dawa, Ethiopia (100%) (33), and Nigeria (100%) (47). The emergences of MDR is a global challenge and accelerated antimicrobial resistance could be due to widespread misuse of antimicrobials by the patient, inadequate dosing, poor drug quality, incomplete treatment courses and inappropriate prescription of antimicrobial without susceptibility tests are factors that increased bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents.

Limitation of the study

The present study had limited to track patients though follow-up urine specimen testing to determine the efficacy of antimicrobial treatment due to lack antibiotic disc and financial constraints and also pregnant women with significant bacteriuria did not know their adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes.

In conclusion, the prevalence of ASB among pregnant women in the present study was at 16.9%. Women's with a past history of UTIs; history of catheterization, hemoglobin level less than 11g/dl and history of natural abortion were significantly associated with ASB. The most frequent identified isolates were *E. coli* (43.0%), followed by *S. aureus* (20.4%) and *S. saprophyticus* (14.3%). The antibiotic susceptibility result revealed that nitrofurantoin and gentamicin were the most effective against bacteria isolates. The study also showed that most of the bacteria were multidrug resistant. Therefore, the empirical antibiotic selection should be based on the knowledge of the local prevalence of bacterial organisms and antibiotic sensitivities rather than on universal guidelines. This study recommends that, there is need for early routine screening of all antenatal care pregnant women with or without clinical symptoms of urinary tract infection, in order to prevent adverse outcome to mother and fetus.

List of Abbreviations

ANC: Antenatal care, ASB: Asymptomatic bacteriuria, ATCC: American Type Culture Collection CFU: Colony Forming Unit, MDR: Multidrug-resistance, HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus, SPHMMC: Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, UTI: Urinary tract infection

Availability of data and materials

All relevant data generated and analyzed are available within the paper.

Ethical approval and consent to participant

The study was approved by Institutional Review Board of Hawassa University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences (Ref No IRB/205/11) and Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College (Ref No pm23/368). An official permission letter was obtained from the Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College administration office. Participants enrolled in study were informed about the study objectives, expected outcomes and benefits and risks associated with it. Written informed consent was taken from the all participants before the interview.

Authors' contributions

^{YAW} Conceived, designed the experiments, laboratory work, data analysis and write up ^{MMA} supervision, review, analysis and manuscript preparation ^{DYR} review, supervision, ^{ET&DBG} support laboratory work. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thanks Ethiopian Public Health Institutes Laboratory, Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, supervisor, data collectors and all study participants.

Funding

Payment for data collector was covered by Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they no competing interests.

References

1. Onanuga A, Maureen CO, Deboh ED. Carriage of multi-drug resistant urobacteria by asymptomatic pregnant women in yenagoa, bayelsa state, Nigeria. *Afr J Infect Dis.* 2018; 12(2):14-20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21010/ajid.v12i2.3>
2. Radha S, Nambisan B, Prabhakaran NK, Jamal S. Prevalence and outcome of asymptomatic bacteriuria in early pregnancy. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology.* 2017; 6(1): 223-7. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20164663>.

3. Aminu KY, Aliyu UU. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnant Women in the Antenatal Booking Clinic at Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2015;5:286-97. <http://www.scirp.org/journal/ojog> <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojog.2015.55042>
4. Tadesse S, Kahsay T, Adhanom G, Kahsu G, Legese H, G/wahid A, et al., Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility profile and predictors of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in Adigrat General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2018; 11:740. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3911-7>.
5. Ali IE, Gebrecherkos T, Gizachew M, Menberu MA. Asymptomatic bacteriuria and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the isolates among pregnant women attending Dessie referral hospital, Northeast Ethiopia. *Turk J Urol*. 2018 44(3): 251-60. doi: 10.5152/tud.2018.07741
6. Al-Jendy, AS. and Al-Ofairi BA (2019). "Bacterial pathogens of patients with urinary tract infections and antibiotics susceptibility in Taiz Governorate–Yemen." *Al-Mustansiriyah Journal of Science*. 30(1): 43-51.
7. Labi AK, Yawson AE, Ganyaglo GY, Newman MJ. Prevalence and associated risk factors of asymptomatic bacteriuria in ante-natal clients in a large teaching hospital in Ghana. *Ghana medical journal*. 2015;49(3):154-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v49i3.5>
8. Tadesse E, Teshome M, Merid Y, Kibret B, Shimelis T. Asymptomatic urinary tract infection among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Hawassa Referral Hospital, Southern Ethiopia. *BMC Research Notes*. 2014;7(155):1-5. <https://bmresnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1756-0500-7-155>
9. Elzayat MA, Ashton BV, Dabour ME, Cheng F. Prevalence of undiagnosed asymptomatic bacteriuria and associated risk factors during pregnancy: a cross-sectional study at two tertiary centres in Cairo, Egypt. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7:1-7(3):e013198. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013198>
10. Manjula R, Kavya H, Kashinakunti SV, Solabannavar S, Dorle AS, Lalitha HD. Diagnostic Accuracy of Griess Test for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnancy. *British Journal of Medicine & Medical Research*. 2016;11(8): 1-7 <https://doi.org/10.9734/BJMMR/2016/20754>
11. Paari P, Sindhuja TP, Dhinakaran S, P. Paul CM. A cross-sectional study on asymptomatic bacteriuria among antenatal women attending an urban tertiary health care center in Southern India. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*. 2017; 6(10): 4523. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20174435>
12. Pantagada N and Kamala P. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending a tertiary care Hospital. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6(3): 523-529. <http://www.ijemas.com>
13. Ramalingam K, Surasani VM, Bollu M. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in antenatal women coming to NRIMC&GH. *Bangladesh J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2015;30(1):30-6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjog.v30i1.30505>
14. Laily F, Lutan D, Amelia S, Tala MRZ, T A Nasution. Associated risk factors for urinary tract infection among pregnant women at Puskesmas Kenangan, Deli Serdang district. *IOP Conf Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 2018; 125: 012035. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/125/1/012035>
15. Negussie A, Worku G, Beyene E. Bacterial identification and drug susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection in pregnant Women at Karamara Hospital Jigjiga, Eastern Ethiopia. *Afr J Bacteriol Res*. 2018; 10(2): 15-22. DOI: 10.5897/JBR2017.0255
16. Ankur G, Namita S, Sapna G, Anu P, Neha M, Daniya S, et al., Prevalence of asymptomatic urinary tract infections in the three trimesters of pregnancy. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci*. 2015 (1):110-7.
17. Gessese YA, Damessa DL, Amare MM, Bahta YH, Shifera AD, Tasew FS, et al., Urinary pathogenic bacterial profile, antibiogram of isolates and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Ambo town, Central Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*. 2017;6(1):132. DOI 10.1186/s13756-017-0289-6
18. Urombo CT, Agboghroma CO and Efetie ER. "Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in a tertiary Hospital in Abuja, Nigeria." *Trop J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2015; 32(1):27-33.
19. Abdulahi I, Gebre-Selassie S. Common bacterial pathogens and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns in patients with symptomatic urinary tract infections at Hiwot Fana and Jugal Hospitals, Harar City, Eastern Ethiopia. *Galore International Journal of Health Sciences and Research*. 2018; 3(2):33-49. www.gijhsr.com.

20. Vasudevan R. Urinary Tract Infection: An Overview of the Infection and the Associated Risk Factors. *J Microbiol Exp.* 2014; 1 (2):00008.
21. Onyenweaku FC, Amah HC, Obeagu EI, Nwandikor UU, Onwuasoanya UF. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and its antibiotic susceptibility pattern in pregnant women attending private ante natal clinics in Umuahia Metropolitan. *Int J Curr Res Biol Med.* 2017; 2(2):13–23. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijcrbm.2017.02.02.00>
22. Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries, Part 2. Cambridge University Press, New York 2006.
23. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 29th ed. CLSI supplement M100 Wayne, PA: *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.* 2019.
24. Kamel HA, Hegab MH, Al-sehrawey AA, Hassan HM. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with preterm labor. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine.* 2018;73(9):7444-7. DOI: 10.12816/EJHM.2018.18699
25. Marami D, Balakrishnan S and Seyoum B. Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates, and associated factors of urinary tract infections among HIV-positive patients at Hiwot Fana Specialized University Hospital, Eastern Ethiopia. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology.* 2019;1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6780354>
26. Khanum S, Ahmed JU, Khanam K. Bacterial etiology, antibiotic sensitivity pattern and risk factors for asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy: experience in a tertiary care Hospital. *BIRDEM Med J.* 2016;6(2):79-83. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3329/birdem.v6i2.31289>
27. Nisha AK, Etana AE, Tesso H. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy in Adama city, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Microbiology and Immunology Research.* 2015;3(5):058-63.
28. Bayati AH, Ghaib AH, Nasraldeen N, Hamalaw SA, Zainab AH. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in sulaimani city. *GJBB.* 2016;5(3):327-30
29. Tosin OA, Mathew AO, Bello FJ, Oludare IO, Dolapo AL, Abosede AF. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria among antenatal Women Attending private Hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. *Sch J App Med Sci.* 2014;2(6D):3076-80
30. Abderrazzack AF, Aguid MN, Hig-Zounet BT, Tidjani A, Ameyapoh Y. Asymptomatic microbial urinary tract infection in HIV positive pregnant women in Ndjamena (Chad). *International Journal of Innovations in Biological and Chemical Sciences.* 2015;6:1-7.
31. Chukwu OS, Ezeonu IM, Victor MA, Moses NA, Uchenna UG, Owolabi JO, Olaosebikan OO. Incidence, aetiology and antibiotic susceptibility profile of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women in Nsukka Urban, Enugu state, Nigeria. *World J Life Sci and Medical Research.* 2014;3(3):94.
32. Derbie A, Mekonnen D, Abate E, Tadesse S, Birku T, Biadlegne F. Bacterial isolates and their current drug susceptibility profile from urine among asymptomatic pregnant women attending at a referral hospital, northwest ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Reproductive Health.* 2018;10(2):1-10
33. Alemu A, Moges F, Shiferaw Y, Tafess K, Kassu A, Anagaw B, *et al.*, Bacterial profile and drug susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection in pregnant women at University of Gondar Teaching Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Research Notes.* 2012;5(197):1-7. <https://bmcresearchnotes.biomedcentral.com/track/pdf/10.1186/1756-0500-5-197>
34. Mwei MK, Mchome B, John B, Maro B. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre in Northern Tanzania. *Clin Pract.* 2018;15(6): 917-22.
35. Tosin OJ, Mathew AO, Bello FJ, Oludare IT, Dolapo AL, Abosede AF. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria among antenatal Women Attending private Hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. *Sch. J. App. Med. Sci.* 2014; 2(6D):3076-80.
36. Derese B, Kedir H, Teklemariam Z, Weldegebreal F, Balakrishnan S. Bacterial profile of urinary tract infection and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending at Antenatal Clinic in Dil Chora Referral Hospital, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia. *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management.* 2016;12:251-60. doi: 10.2147/TCRM.S99831
37. Ayoyi AO, Kikui G, Bii C, Kariuki S. Prevalence, aetiology and antibiotic sensitivity profile of asymptomatic bacteriuria isolates from pregnant women in selected antenatal clinic from Nairobi, Kenya. *The Pan African Medical Journal.* 2017;26:1-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2017.26.41.10975>
38. Mwambete KD, Msigwa M. Prevalence of asymptomatic urinary tract infections among

- pregnant women residing in a rural and an urban area in Tanzania. *East and Central African Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2017;20(1-3):27-32. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ecajps/article/view/186034/175324>
39. Mohamed NR, Omar H, Abd-Allah IM. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women in Ismailia City, Egypt. *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science (IOSR-JNHS)*. 2017;2320-1959. DOI: 10.9790/1959-0603076272
40. Emiru T, Beyene B, Tsegaye W, Melaku S. Associated risk factors of urinary tract infection among pregnant women at Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir Dar, North West Ethiopia. *BMC Research Notes*. 2013;6(292):1-8. <https://bmcresearchnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1756-0500-6-292>
41. Nguefack CT, Ebongue CO, Chokotheu CN, Ewougo CE, Njamen TN, E. M. Clinical presentation, risk factors and pathogens involved in bacteriuria of pregnant women attending antenatal clinic of 3 hospitals in a developing country: a cross sectional analytic study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2019; 19(143):1-6. <https://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-019-2290-y>
42. Taye S, Getachew M, Desalegn Z, Biratu A, Mubashir K. Bacterial profile, antibiotic susceptibility pattern and associated factors among pregnant women with urinary tract infection in Goba and Sinana Woredas, Bale Zone, Southeast Ethiopia. *BMC Research Notes*. 2018; 11(799):799. <https://bmcresearchnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13104-013910-8>
43. Sujatha R, Nawani M. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and its antibacterial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic at Kanpur, India. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2014;8(4):C01-C03. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2014/6599.4205
44. Foudaa AA, Seglab TD, Mounerouc S, Adawayeh C, Bertind THZ, Abdelsalame T, *et al.*, Sensibility of uropathogens in pregnant women with asymptomatic bacteriuria in Lome, Togo. *Scientific Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2015;4(4):30-5. doi: 10.14196/sjbs.v4i4.1866
45. Farazia A, Jabbarias M. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy in the central region of Iran: Frequency, risk factors, and causative organisms. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health*. 2019; 7(3) 309-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2018.09.009>
46. Gizachew Z, Kassa T, Beyene G, Howe R, Yeshitila B. Multi-Drug resistant bacteria and associated factors among reproductive age women with significant bacteriuria. *Ethiop Med J*. 2019; 1:31-43. <http://emjema.org/index.php/EMJ/article/view/1208>
47. Akpan NG, Umoyen AJ, Luka TT, Onwuezobe IA, Antia UE, Okon AS. Asymptomatic uropathogenic bacteriuria among pregnant and non-pregnant women at St Luke's Hospital Anua, Offot Ukwu District Uyo: A reassessment case-control approach. *American Journal of Laboratory Medicine*. 2019; 4(1):1-10. doi: 10.11648/j.ajlm.20190401.11.

How to cite this article:

Yasin Awol Wabe, Musa Mohammed Ali, Dawit Yihdego Reda, Estifanos Tsige and Degeuf Beyene Gobene. 2020. Bacterial Profile, Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic of Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Int.J.Curr.Res.Aca.Rev.* 8(4), 57-70. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrar.2020.804.008>